American Journal of Social Sciences, Arts and Literature Vol. 5, No. 1, August 2018, pp. 1-6, E-ISSN: 2334 - 0037 Available online at http://ajssal.com/



Case Study

A CASE STUDY ON THE COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT SURVEY OF THE DRINKING WATER CONCERNS FROM HINAPLANON PRODUCTION WELLS IN ILIGAN CITY, LANAO DEL NORTE

Mary Grace Aranas, Julie Ann Dayanan, Mahaine Echavez, Maria Alena Xenia Manit, Rheena Switch Salaveria and Dr. Sonnie A. Vedra

School of Graduate Studies Mindanao State University at Naawan 9023 Naawan, Misamis Oriental

Corresponding author: vedrasonnie@gmail.com



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract

Hinaplanon production well is the only deep well water source in Iligan City. However, it was destroyed upon the occurrence of Typhoon Sendong in December 2011. The aftermath of the typhoon had created various forms of contamination such as dead bodies of humans and animals and several forms of debris. Hence, the community was asked if the conditions of the production wells could still be used for various domestic uses. Results revealed that the respondents had no choice except on using the said production wells particularly for drinking purposes. It is therefore recommended that the Department of Health must regularly monitor the conditions of the quality of well water the health conditions of drinking as as the consumers. Copyright © AJSSAL, all right reserved.

Keywords: community survey, deep wells, Iligan City, water quality



Introduction

Typhoon Sendong occurred in December 2011 in Iligan City that devastated many barangays, claimed many lives and brought immeasurable destruction of properties. Based on the initial survey conducted right after Sendong occurrence, water of the deep well was not in good condition. In particular, there is a strong possibility that the water was contaminated given that the flood brought in mud and other forms of contaminants. As a consequence, Barangay Hinaplanon was severely damaged, including the Hinaplanon Pumping Station which is situated near the Mandulog River. The control room failed to withstand the raging force of the water from the river. Fortunately, the pumps were only partially damaged. Thus, rehabilitation followed.

The assessment of the water was derived from the deep wells of Barangay Hinaplanon based on the physicochemical and bacteriological characteristics of the water, namely odor, color, taste, turbidity, total dissolved solids (TDS), pH, acidity, alkalinity, nitrate, nitrite, total hardness, mercury, and *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) as the essential indicator of fecal contamination. *E.coli* count was the only bacteriological parameter considered. For mercury analysis, samples were collected at specific sampling point in a large pipe which received all the water drawn from Wells 1, 2 3, and 5.

All the results of the selected physical, chemical and bacteriological parameters in a study conducted were within the Philippine National Standard of Drinking Water 2007 and World Health Organization 2011 for both dry and wet periods. It was concluded that the water in Hinaplanon Production Wells is safe for the consuming public to drink and for other domestic uses as far as the dates of sampling were concerned. Now with these results, this still needs constant monitoring of the water quality of Hinaplanon deep wells.

Although these results may show the safety of Hinaplanon deep wells, consumers experience in using the water is one of the most important parameters in this study.

Methods

Consumer's involvement survey: The survey questionnaires consisted of questions regarding the usage of water, domestic or drinking use, were distributed to the households that used this water supply. These questionnaires also consisted some concerns that they had experienced.

Results and Discussion

Data obtained in the drinking and domestic water uses were being compared through the results from the different barangays in Iligan City but used only from one water source which is the Hinaplanon deep well. The results were from a 10-respondent survey in every barangay subjected. The deep well is categorized by 3 wells. The water source was constructed with the drilling of two wells (Well 1 and Well 2) to supply water to the four barangays of Iligan City, namely, Upper Hinaplanon, Luinab, Del Carmen and a portion of Pala-o which are situated in the southern part of the City. The other well (Well 3) was constructed to cater five additional barangays which are Sto. Rosario, Sta. Felomina, Bagong Silang, San Roque and some part of Barangay Santiago. In summary, the Hinaplanon deep well were able to supply 9 barangays in the City of Iligan.

As a result of the Wells 1 and 2 given from the data of survey, 15% indicated as the highest percent based on the response of the residents in Brgy. Upper Hinaplanon (Table 1, Figure 1). The respondents claimed that they used the source of water as their drinking water. Meanwhile the lowest percentage goes to the Brgy. Pala-o, therefore 2.5% of the residents of the area used this source as their drinking water. In Well 3, given from the data of survey, 14% was obtained as the highest percent based on the response from the residents in Brgy. Sto. Rosario (Table 2, Figure 2). Similarly, the residents were using this water source as drinking water.

American Journal of Social Sciences, Arts and Literature Vol. 5, No. 1, August 2018, pp. 1-6, E-ISSN: 2334 - 0037 Available online at <u>http://ajssal.com/</u>



Barangay in Well 1 and 2 Result from 10- Respondent every Percentage (Result from 10-Brgy who uses these Well as their Respondent/ Total Respondents of Drinking Water 4-Brgy) Upper Hinaplanon 15% 6 Luinab 3 7.5% Del Carmen 2 5% 1 2.5% Pala-o





Figure 1. Wells 1 and 2 (4-Brgy) in Iligan City.

Table 2.	Response of	the residents	based on	Wells 3 and	d 5 Result	(5-Brgy) ir	Iligan City.
----------	-------------	---------------	----------	-------------	------------	-------------	--------------

Barangay in Well 3	Result from 10- Respondent every Brgy who uses these Well as their Drinking Water	Percentage (Result from 10- Respondent/ Total Respondents of 5-Brgy)		
Sto.Rosario	4	8%		
Sta.Felomina	4	8%		
Bagong Silang	4	8%		
San Roque	4	8%		
Santiago	7	14%		





Figure 2. Wells 3 and 5 (5-Brgy) in Iligan City.

Summary and Implications

Results of the survey questionnaires were testified by the laboratory results conducted for water quality analysis. The physical characteristics of Hinaplanon deep well were within the standard tolerable limits set by the Philippine National Standards for Drinking Water and World Health Organization. Similarly, the chemical content (pH, acidity, alkalinity, total hardness, nitrate nitrite and mercury), results showed that chemical content of the water supply were within the required standards for drinking water.

Providing access of drinking water (deep well), through public domain indicates preferential rights to them if the ground water passes along the government installed pumps at Brgy. Hinaplanon, Iligan City, and therefore, can be used as drinking water and domestic consumption purposes.

Based on the results from the community involvement survey, 46% of Well 3 and 30% of Wells 1 and 2 were being used as drinking water. Hence, the 70% of Wells 1 and 2 and 54% of Well 3 were being used as sources of drinking water.

Most of the household that used the water other than drinking experience stains from long storage of water. This might indicate that the water may contain minerals above limits and posed some health hazards. The concerned consumers wanted to know the quality of the water for the assurance of their health.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Despite that the water parameters studied passed through the standard limits, and that the residents used it for drinking purposes, it is therefore imperative that a constant monitoring of the water quality of Hinaplanon production wells be performed by proper authorities. There should be two monitoring activities to be done: namely, compliance monitoring and the operational monitoring. In compliance monitoring, it should be done twice per annum to determine whether water supply complies with the standards set by DOH and WHO. The compliance monitoring samples should be analyzed in accredited laboratories.

In operational monitoring, it should be done at least once a week. The analysis to be used should provide the methods of proper calibration and subject to analytical quality control. Water quality varies with the present and future developments or anthropogenic activities specifically within the watershed and in the nearby areas. So it is important that the quality of the water be rigorously monitored to ensure that proper and prompt remediation be conducted when any water parameter exceeds the PNSDW and WHO standard limits.



References

- 1. Agrawal, R. (2010). International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research Physico-Chemical Analysis of Some Groundwater Samples of Kotputli Town, 1(2), 111–113.
- 2. Akteyon, I. O. S. (2011). Groundwater Quality Assessment in Eti-Osa , Lagos-Nigeria using Multivariate Analysis, 15(1), 12–125.
- 3. American Society for Testing and Materials (1964, p. 364). Retrieved from <u>www.astm.org/DIGITAL LIBRARY/STP/MMR/PAGES/MRS406-EB.htm</u>, January 18, 2016
- 4. AWWARF (1995) Nitrification Occurrence and Control in Chloraminated Water Systems. Denver, CO, American Water Works Association Research Foundation. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/chemicals/nitratenitrite2nd_add.pdf, April 5, 2016
- 5. Barringer, J. L., Szabo, Z., & Reilly, P. a. (2012). Occurrence and Mobility of Mercury in Groundwater. Current Perspectives in Contaminat Hydrology and Water Resources Sustainability, 117–147.
- 6. Bash, J., Berman, C., & Bolton, S. (2001). Effects of Turbidity and Suspended Solids on Salmonids. Measurement, (November), 74.
- 7. Bernhoft, R. A. (2012). Mercury toxicity and treatment: A review of the literature. Journal of Environmental and Public Health. doi:10.1155/2012/460508
- 8. British Columbia Ground Water Association. (2007). Total, Fecal & E. coli Bacteria in Groundwater. Water Stewardship Information Series, (February).
- 9. Bureau of Environmental Health Answers to Frequently Asked Health Question What is coliform? Where do you find coliform? Can coliform harm your health? (2011), (September), 2011.
- 10. Claude, B. E. (2016, September 26). What Causes Alkalinity Changes in Aquaculture Waters? Global Aquaculture .
- 11. Connecticut Department of Public Health. (2010). Presence of Total Coliform or Fecal Coliform /E . coli Bacteria in the Water Supply At Food Service Establishments, (June), 8.
- 12. Coulter, B. (2015, February 13). Skillings & Sons, Inc Bringing Water Well Technology to a Whole New Level. Retrieved August 2nd, 2016, from Your Resource for Water Well, Water Filtration and Geothermal Information: http://blog.skillingsandsons.com/blog-1/well-depth-how-deep-should-my-water-well-be
- 13. Department of Health, P. (2007). Office of the secretary, (632), 1–35.
- Gatseva, P.D., Argirova, M.D., 2008, High-nitrate levels in drinking water may be a risk factor for thyroid dysfunction in children and pregnant women living in rural Bulgarian areas, International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health, 211, 555–559. Retrieved fromhttp://article.sapub.org/pdf/10.5923.j.ajee.20140404.03.pdf, April 6, 2016
- 15. Harter, T. (2003). Reference: Groundwater Quality and Groundwater Monitoring84. Retrieved August 1st, 2016, from ANR University of California Publication 8084: http://groundwater.ucdavis.edu/files/136273.pdf
- 16. Heath, B. R. C. (2004). Basic Ground-Water Hydrology.
- 17. Hem, J. D. (1985). Alcalinity. Study and Interpretation of the Chemical Characteristics of Natural Water, 2254, 106 162.
- Hoehn, R.C. (2002). Odor Production by Algae, Conference Workshop Presentation: Understanding and Controlling the Taste and Odor of Drinking Water AWWA Annual Conference, New Orleans, June 16, 2002.
- 19. ICAIR Life Systems, Inc. (1987). Drinking water criteria document on nitrate/nitrite. Washington, DC, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Drinking Water. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/chemicals/nitratenitrite2ndadd.pdf, April 5, 2016
- 20. Lenntech. (2016). Lenntech. Retrieved July 29, 2016, from Lenntech: http://www.lenntech.com/periodic/water/calcium/calcium-and-water.htm
- 21. Lehr, J. et al., 1980. Domestic Water treatment, New York, N.Y: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- 22. Lin, S. D. (1977). Tastes and Odors in Water Supplies A Review 1977. Water.
- Loganathan, P., Vigneswaran, S., Kandasamy, J., 2013, Enhanced removal of nitrate from water using surface modification of adsorbents A review, Journal of Environmental Management, 131, 363-374. Retrieved from http://article.sapub.org/pdf/10.5923.j.ajee.20140404.03.pdf, April 6, 2016
- 24. Nations, U., United, T., Geological, S., & Canada, E. (2014). Safe Drinking Water Formulation, 1–8.



- 25. Nelson, D. (2002, November). Groundwater Foundation Annual Meeting. Retrieved August 2nd, 2016, from Natural Variations in the Composition of Groundwater: http://library.state.or.us/repository/2010/201008250826323/index.pdf
- 26. New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services. (2010). Interpreting the Presence of Coliform Bacteria in Drinking Water. Environmental Fact Sheet, 603, 271–3503.
- 27. Oram, B. (n.d.). Nitrates and Nitrites in Drinking Water and Surface Waters. water Research Center .
- 28. Perween, S., & Fatima, U. (2015). Research Article Study of groundwater quality by the assessment of physico-chemical parameters and water quality index in Aligarh , Uttar Pradesh, 7(5), 761–771.
- 29. Philippine National Standard for Drinking Water (2007). Retrieved from http://www.lwua.gov.ph/downloads_14/Philippine%20National%20Standards%20for%20Drinking%20Wa ter%202007.pdf, March 7, 2016
- 30. Rhode, T. U. of. (2013). pH of Well Water, (401).
- 31. Safe Drinking Water Foundation (2011), Water Quality Tests. Retrieved from http://www.safewater.org/PDFS/communitywatertestkit/Water_Quality_Tests.pd, March 30, 2016
- Smith, R. L., Buckwalter, S. P., Repert, D. A., Miller, D.N., 2005, Small-scale, hydrogen- oxidizingdenitrifying bioreactor for treatment of nitrate-contaminated drinking water, Water Research, 39, 2014–2023. Retrieved from http://article.sapub.org/pdf/10.5923.j.ajee.20140404.03.pdf, April 5, 2016
- 33. State Water Resources Control Board. (2010). Groundwater Information Sheet: Arsenic, 1–7.
- 34. State Water Resources Control Board (2010). GAMA Program GROUNDWATER INFORMATION SHEET Salinity.Water,(March).
- 35. Stewardship, W., & Series, I. (2007). Hardness in Groundwater. Public Health, (February).
- 36. Tredoux, G., Engelbrecht, P., & Israel, S. (2009). Why is it a hazard and how to control it?, (August 2015).
- 37. University of California, C. for W. S. (2012). Nitrogen Sources and Loading to Groundwater.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (1995). Nitrates/ Nitrites in Groundwater. Retrieved from http://www.cdhd.idaho.gov/pdfs/eh/land_nitrate.pdf, April 6, 2016
- 39. USEPA (1987) Estimated national occurrence and exposure to nitrate and nitrite in public drinking water supplies. Washington, DC, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Drinking Water. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/water sanitation health/dwg/chemicals/nitratenitrite2ndadd.pdf, April 5, 2016
- 40. V, Duijvenboden W, Matthijsen AJCM (1989) Integrated criteria document nitrate. Bilthoven, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM Report No. 758473012.Retrieved from http://www.who.int/water sanitation health/dwq/chemicals/nitratenitrite2ndadd.pdf, April 5, 2011.
- Ward, M.H., Brender, J.D., 2011, Drinking water nitrate and health, Encyclopedia on Environmental Health, 4, 167–178. Retrieved from <u>http://article.sapub.org/pdf/10.5923.j.ajee.20140404.03.pdf</u>, April 5, 2016
- 42. Wilde, F. D., & Gibs, J. (1998). Turbidity. U.S. Geological Survey, 1–30.
- 43. WHO (1985b). Health hazards from nitrate in drinking-water. Report on a WHO meeting, Copenhagen, 5– 9 March 1984. Copenhagen, WHO Regional Office for Europe (Environmental Health Series No. 1).Retrieved from http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/chemicals/nitratenitrite2ndadd.pdf, April 6, 2016
- 44. World Health Organization. (1996). Total dissolved solids in Drinking-water Background document for development of. World Health Organization (Vol. 2). 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland.
- 45. World Health Organization. (2011). Guidelines for drinking-water quality, 1. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/gdwqvol32ed.pdf, March 21, 2016
- 46. World Health Organization. (2011). Nitrate and Ntrite in drinking-water. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/chemicals/nitratenitrite2ndadd.pdf, April 5, 2016
- 47. WHO (2011). Nitrate and Nitrite in Drinking Water. Background document for development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality.